### **AMIDD 2023 Lecture 8: Protein-ligand binding**



#### Dr. Jitao David Zhang, Computational Biologist

<sup>1</sup> Pharmaceutical Sciences, Pharma Research and Early Development, Roche Innovation Center Basel, F. Hoffmann-La Roche <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Basel

### Today's goals



- 1. Biological sequence analysis is fundamental to characterize protein functions.
- 2. Target-based drug discovery is about to find and make molecules for specific and high-affinity protein-ligand interactions.
- 3. Basic concepts of structure-based and ligand-based drug design

## *WebLogo*: a transition from the deterministic view to a probabilistic view

GACCTCGGTT malEpKp4 GAAGGCGACC crp CGATGCGAGG cvtR fur AAATGTAAGC TGCCGTGATT araB2 OMDR TAACGTGATC TTGTTTGATT glpACB AGAGGTGATT rot AGGTGTTAAA cya AATTGTGAAC rhaS glpFK TTTTATGACG ATTTGCGATG cdd tdcA ATTTGTGAGT deoP2 TTATTTGAAC TTATTTGCCA nupG1 TAATGTGACG crp ATTCGTGATA aldB TTGTGTGATC malEpKp1 TTTTGTGAGT nag malEpKp3 TTTTGCAAGC TAATGTGGAG malEpKp2 dadAX AGATGTGATT TTTTGCGATC gut glpFK AAGTTCGATA AGATGTGAGC dadAX TAATGTGAGT lac TAATGAGATT cdd mt1 TCTTGTGATT cytR AAATTCAATA AAACGTGATT glpACB **Aligned sequences** 

 $R_{seq} = S_{max} - S_{obs} = \log_2 N - \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n \log_2 p_n\right)$ 

Information entropy of a Bernoulli trial

Conservation per site defined as difference between maximal and observed information 1. Schneider, T. D. & Stephens, R. M. Sequence logos: a new way to display consensus sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 18, 6097–6100 (1990).

2. 1. Crooks, G. E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J.-M. & Brenner, S. E. WebLogo: A Sequence Logo Generator. Genome Res. 14, 1188–1190 (2004).



WebLogo

UNI BASEL



# A probabilistic view of biological sequence analysis with Markov chains

- A discrete-time Markov chain is a sequence of random variables with the <u>Markov property</u>, namely that the probability of moving to the next state depends only on the present state and not on the previous states.
- A Markov chain is often represented by either a directed graph or a transition matrix.

#### Applications

- Given a string, assuming that the Markov chain model is suitable, we can construct a Markov chain, for instance by counting transitions and normalize the count matrix.
- Given a Markov chain model and a string, we can calculate the probability that the string is generated by the specific model with the **chain rule of conditional probability**.



	Α	С	G	Т
Α	.300	.205	.285	.210
С	.322	.298	.078	.302
G	.248	.246	.298	.208
Т	.177	.239	.292	.292



# Stationary distribution exist for ergodic (irreducible and aperiodic) Markov Chains

- A Markov Chain has stationary *n*-step transition probabilities, which are the *n*th power of the one-step transition probabilities. Namely, P<sub>n</sub>=P<sup>n</sup>.
- A stationary distribution π is a row vector whose entries are non-negative and sum to
   1. It is unchanged by the operation matrix P on it, and is defined by πP=π.
  - Note that is has the form of the left eigenvector equation,  $uA = \kappa u$ , where  $\kappa$  is a scalar and u is a row vector. In fact,  $\pi$ is a normalised (sum to 1) multiple of a left eigenvector e of the transition matrix P with an eigenvalue of 1.



Grewal, Jasleen K., Martin Krzywinski, and Naomi Altman. 2019. "<u>Markov</u> <u>Models—Markov Chains.</u>" Nature Methods 16 (8): 663–64.



## Hidden Markov Models model hidden states based on observations



A Hidden Markov Model of an unstable coin that has a 20% chance of switching between a fair state (F) and a biased state (B). Source: Grewal, Jasleen K., Martin Krzywinski, and Naomi Altman. 2019. "<u>Markov Models — Hidden Markov Models.</u>" Nature Methods 16 (9): 795–96.



### The Viterbi algorithm estimates transmission and emission matrices

A Hidden Markov Model consists of two graphs (matrices): one of **hidden states**, which corresponds to the **transmission matrix**, and one of **observed states**, which corresponds to the **emission matrix**.



Illustration of a Hidden Markov Model predicting CpG islands in genomic sequences The Viterbi algorithm (based on dynamic programming), or the **Baum-Welch** algorithm (a special case of EM algorithms) is used to estimate its parameters.

Transmission Matrix Generated: [[0.8 0.2] [0.2 0.8]] Transmission Matrix Recovered: [[0.774 0.226] [0.104 0.896]] Emission Matrix Generated: [[0.5 0.5] [0.1 0.9]] Emission Matrix Recovered: [[0.539 0.461] [0.152 0.848]]

The transmission and emission matrices estimated by the Viterbi algorithm from 1000 observations generated by the HMM model in the last slide. <u>Source code</u>



# Profile Hidden Markov models capture evolutionary changes in homologous sequences

**M:** match states. In the match state, the probability distribution is the frequency of the amino acids in that position.

I: insert states, which model highly variable regions in the alignment

**D**: delete states, which allows gaps and deletion.

Profile HMMs belongs to *generative models*.



Figure from Pfam

### Protein domains: self-stabilizing and folding independently from the rest



9



# Goal of target-based drug discovery: to make a molecule that binds specifically and strongly to the target protein domain



Freitas, R. F. de & Schapira, M. A systematic analysis of atomic protein–ligand interactions in the PDB. Med. Chem. Commun. 8, 1970–1981 (2017)

# Protein Data Bank (PDB) contains solved structures of proteins and protein-ligand interactions





#### 30G7

B-Raf Kinase V600E oncogenic mutant in complex with PLX4032

#### http://www.rcsb.org/3d-view/3OG7





Structural view



#### Ligand view

Balls and sticks: protein V600E and ligand (PLX4032) Blue dashes: hydrogen bonds (<3.5 Angstrom) Gray dashes: hydrophobic interactions (<4 Angstrom)

Working with PDB files with *PyMoI* from the command-line



### X-ray, NMR, and CryoEM are major experimental approaches to determining protein structures



#### Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

https://www.creative-biostructure.com/comparison-of-crystallography-nmr-and-em 6.htm

### Workflow in a typical target-based drug-discovery program

- 1. Compound library construction (small molecules, large molecules, RNA therapeutics, or other modalities)
- 2. Screening compounds with *bioassays*, or *assays*, which determine potency of a chemical by its effect on biological entities: proteins, cells, *etc*;
- 3. Hit identification and clustering;
- 4. More assays, complementary to the assays used in the screening, maybe of lower throughput but more biologically relevant;
- 5. Analysis of ligand-target interactions, for instance by getting the co-structure of both protein (primary target, and off-targets if necessary) and the hit;
- 6. *Drug design,* namely to modify the structure of the drug candidate;
- 7. Analog synthesis and testing (back to step 4);
- 8. Multidimensional Optimization (MDO), with the goal to optimize potency, selectivity, safety, bioavailability, *etc;*
- 9. Further *in vitro*, *ex vivo*, and *in vivo* testing, and preclinical development;
- 10. Entry into human (Phase 0 or phase 1 clinical trial).



UNI BASEL

### Ligand-based and structure-based drug design



#### Ligand (chemical starting point) Not Available Available Solving protein structure or Not Available use predictions like **Target-based screening** AlphaFold2 Ligand-based drug design, Structure-based drug design, Available e.g. similarity and QSAR, and e.g. docking target/MoA identification **Phenotypic screening**

#### Target and its protein structure

QSAR= quantitative structure activity relationship; MoA= mechanism of action, or mode of action



# One of the key ideas of AlphaFold2: learning from evolutionary constraints



Marks, Debora S., Lucy J. Colwell, Robert Sheridan, Thomas A. Hopf, Andrea Pagnani, Riccardo Zecchina, and Chris Sander. "Protein 3D Structure Computed from Evolutionary Sequence Variation." PLOS ONE 6, no. 12 (December 7, 2011): e28766. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028766</u>.

# AlphaFold2 uses co-evolution of residues, determined structures, and neural networks to achieve the high performance



Jumpe et al. "Highly Accurate Protein Structure Prediction with AlphaFold." Nature 596, no. 7873 (August 2021): 583–89. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2. A blog post that explains how AlphaFold2 works: blogpig.com UNI BASEL

### **ChEMBL** as information source of small molecules



A subset of available information from EBI ChEBI/ChEMBL, inspired by EBI's roadshow *Small Molecules in Bioinformatics* 



#### **Representation of small molecules** UNI BASEL CHEMBL113 SciTegic12231509382D 14 15 0 0 0 0 999 V2000 -1.1875 -9.6542 0.0000 C 0 0 Editor Copy . Download -1.1875 -8.9625 0.0000 C 0 0 Molfile: View Raw -1.8125 -10.0292 0.0000 N 0 0 -2.4167 -8.9625 0.0000 N 0 0 CH<sub>3</sub> -2.4167 -9.6542 0.0000 C 0 0 CN1C(=0)N(C)c2ncn(C)c2C1=0 Canonical SMILES: H<sub>3</sub>C -1.8125 -8.6000 0.0000 C 0 0 -0.5000 -9.8917 0.0000 N 0 0 -0.5000 -8.7625 0.0000 N 0 0 0-Standard InChI: InChI=1S/C8H10N402/c1-10-4-9-6-5(10)7(13)12(3)8(14)11(6)2/h4H, 1-3H3 -0.1125 -9.3042 0.0000 C 0 0 -3.0250 -10.0375 0.0000 O 0 0 CH<sub>3</sub> -1.8125 -7.8917 0.0000 O 0 0 -1.8125 -10.7417 0.0000 C 0 0 Standard InChI Key: RYYVLZVUVIJVGH-UHFFFA0YSA-N -3.0250 -8.6000 0.0000 C 0 0 -0.2917 -8.0750 0.0000 C 0 0 2120 3110 4510 Simplified Molecular-Input Line-Entry System (SMILES) • 5310 6210 7110 IUPAC International Chemical Identifier (InChI) ٠ 8210 9720 10520 InChiKey: a 27-character, hash version of InChI • 11620 12310 Molfile: a type of <u>chemical table files</u> 13410 •

## The tragedy of thalidomide and the importance of representation



A complete sedative and hypnotic range - in a single preparation. That is 'Distaval' . . . . the safe day-time sedative which is equally safe in hypnotic doses by night. 'Distaval' is especially suitable for infants, the aged, and patients under severe emotional stress.

"DISTAVAL' TRADE MARK THALIDOMIDE

sedative and hypnotic



(1957)

I thank Manuela Jacklin for her help preparing this slide.









(-)(S)-thalidomide

Isomeric SMILES of (-)(S)-thalidomide C1CC(=O)NC(=O)[C@H]1N2C(=O)C3=CC=CC=C3C2=O



Frances Oldham Kelsey received the President's Award for Distinguished Federal Civilian Service from President John F. Kennedy, 1962

#### Canonic SMILES of thalidomide

#### C1CC(=O)NC(=O)C1N2C(=O)C3=CC=CC=C3C2=O



(+)(R)-thalidomide

Isomeric SMILES of (+)(R)-thalidomide C1CC(=O)NC(=O)[C@@H]1N2C(=O)C3=CC=CC=C3C2=O



U N I B A S E L



### Absolute configuration of atoms within a chiral molecule





# Molecular descriptors: numeric values that describe chemical molecules.

In contrast to symbolic representations, molecular descriptors enable **quantification of molecular properties**.

Molecular descriptors allows mathematical operations and statistical analysis that associate biophysical or biochemical properties with molecule structures.



#### Conclusions



- Sequence analysis is fundamental for many tasks in drug discovery.
- Target-based drug discovery is about to find specific interactions between a new drug molecule and its primary protein target.
- Small molecules can presented by molecular descriptors in order to be analyzed with mathematical and statistical tools.



### **Offline exercises for lecture 8**

Please submit your results to the Google Form.

- Compare p(ACGTGGT|M) and p(ACCTGGT|M), where M stands for the model on the right side. Report the ratio of the two values below. For instance, p(ACG)/p(ACC)=p(A)p(C|A)p(G|C)/(p(A)p(C|A)p(C|C))=p(G|C)/p(C|C)= 0.078/0.298=0.261. Note that the pipe means 'given' or 'conditional on'.
- We have got a RNA sequence by sequencing sputum from a patient (see below). How can we know the original genome of the sequence, and ideally the gene encoding the sequences? Tips: go to the NCBI BLAST tool (<u>https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastn&PAGE\_TYPE=Blast</u> <u>Search&LINK\_LOC=blasthome</u>), copy and paste the sequence as the query sequence, and try your luck. Default parameters are okay.

ATGTTTGTTTTCTTGTTTTATTGCCACTAGTCTCTAGTCAGTGTGTTAATCTTACAACCAGA ACTCAATTACCCCCTGCATACACTAATTCTTTCACACGTGGTGTTTATTACCCTGACAAAGTT TTCAGATCCTCAGT

3. **Required reading:** Selected pages of *Evaluation of the Biological Activity of Compounds: Techniques and Mechanism of Action Studies* by Dougall and Unitt and answer questions. To answer offline-activity questions, it is required to read pages 15-22 (1-8 of the 29 pages in total, before section '4. Types of Enzyme Inhibition and Their Analysis'), page 27 (section 6A), and pages 34-37 (Assay Biostatistics). The rest is optional reading.



oet	p-value	Α	С	G	т
Iphat	Α	.300	.205	.285	.210
ng a	С	.322	.298	.078	.302
scedi	G	.248	.246	.298	.208
Pre	Т	.177	.239	.292	.292



### **Backup slides**

# Three major experimental approaches to determining protein structures



Method	Underlying physical properties	Main mathematical technique used	Advantages	Limitations	
X-ray crystallography	The crystalline structure of a molecule causes a beam of incident X-rays to diffract into many specific directions.	Fourier series and Fourier transform	<ul> <li>Established</li> <li>Broad molecular weight range</li> <li>High resolution</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Crystallization</li><li>Static model</li></ul>	
Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)	Nuclei with odd number of protons and/or neutrons in a strong constant magnetic field, when perturbed by a weak oscillating magnetic field, produce an electromagnetic signal with a frequency characteristic of the magnetic field at the nucleus.	Distance geometry (the study of matrices of distances between pairs of atoms) of and discrete differential geometry of curves	<ul> <li>3D structure in solution</li> <li>Dynamic study possible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High sample purity needed</li> <li>Molecular weight limit (~&lt;40-50 kDa)</li> <li>Sample preparation and computational simulation</li> </ul>	
Cryo-electron microscopy	An electron microscope using a beam of accelerated electrons (instead of protons) as a source of illumination. Samples are cooled to cryogenic temperatures and embedded in an environment of vitreous water (amorphous ice).	An inverse problem of reconstruction - the estimation of randomly rotated molecule structure from a projection with noise; Fourier transform; iterative refinement	<ul> <li>Easy sample preparation</li> <li>Ntive-state structure</li> <li>Small sample size</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Costly EM equipment</li> <li>Challenging for small proteins</li> </ul>	

# If no structure is available, homology model building and *in silico* prediction may help



**Target sequence** Template ..pmllhvaaqiasgmrylat.. Sequence alignment ...vvllymataissameylek.. template sequence ..pmllhvaaqiasamrylat.. target sequence Homology model



Sliwoski, Gregory, Sandeepkumar Kothiwale, Jens Meiler, und Edward W. Lowe. "Computational Methods in Drug Discovery". *Pharmacological Reviews* 66, Nr. 1 (1. Januar 2014): 334–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.112.007336</u>.

W296–W303 Nucleic Acids Research, 2018, Vol. 46, Web Server issue doi: 10.1093/nar/gky427 Published online 21 May 2018

### SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes

Andrew Waterhouse<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Martino Bertoni<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Stefan Bienert<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Gabriel Studer<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Gerardo Tauriello<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Rafal Gumienny<sup>1,2</sup>, Florian T. Heer<sup>1,2</sup>, Tjaart A. P. de Beer<sup>1,2</sup>, Christine Rempfer<sup>1,2</sup>, Lorenza Bordoli<sup>1,2</sup>, Rosalba Lepore<sup>1,2</sup> and Torsten Schwede<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biozentrum, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 50–70, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland and <sup>2</sup>SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Biozentrum, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 50–70, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

Received February 09, 2018; Revised May 01, 2018; Editorial Decision May 02, 2018; Accepted May 07, 2018

- Levinthal's paradox: It would take a protein the present age of the universe to explore all possible configurations and find the minimum energy configuration. Yet proteins fold in microseconds.
- CASP: Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure
   Prediction
- A thought-provoking blog from Mohammed AlQuraishi: <u>AlphaFold @</u> <u>CASP13: "What just happened?"</u>, with an informal but good overview of history of protein structure prediction, and his indictment (criminal accusations) of both academia and pharma.
- By 2021 AlphaFold2 and RoseTTAfold reach experiment-level accuracy in some predictions of protein static structure. By 2023 AlphaMissing has been used to predict the consequence of mutations.



# AlphaFold2 & RoseTTAfold extend our understanding of protein biology, while their impact on drug discovery remains to be seen



Akdel, Mehmet, Douglas EV Pires, Eduard Porta-Pardo, Jurgen Janes, Arthur O. Zalevsky, Balint Meszaros, Patrick Bryant, et al. "A Structural Biology Community Assessment of AlphaFold 2 Applications," September 26, 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.26.461876</u>.



### Brief introduction to AlphaFold (2) and RoseTTAFold

- AlphaFold (available in 2018, relevant research since ~2010s)
  - Key assumption: a distance map, created by following the observation that co-evoluting amino acids have close physical interactions.
  - Key algorithm: graph neural networks that predict distances between distances, as well as  $\phi$  (Psi, dihedral angle of the N-Ca bond) and  $\psi$  (Phi, C-Ca bond) angles for each amino acid. Trained with amino-acid and structural data of 29,000 proteins, with neural network and gradient descent.
- AlphaFold2 (available in 2020)
  - Improving drawback of AlphaFold1, which overwrites interactions between nearby residues over residues further apart.
  - Major changes
    - Transformers that refine a vector representation of each relationship between two amino acids in the protein. Attention mechanism is used to learn from data.
    - A single differentiable end-to-end model instead of modular models
    - Local physicals is applied only at the final refinement step.
- <u>RoseTTAFold</u> (Science 2021): a three-track network integrating 1D (sequence), 2D (distance), and 3D (coordinate) level information. Possible to model protein-protien complexes. Code and server available.

#### Molecular similarity and similarity measures



#### Table 2 Formulas for the various similarity and distance metrics

Distance metric	Formula for continuous variables <sup>a</sup>	Formula for dichotomous variables	
Manhattan distance	$D_{A, B} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}  x_{jA} - x_{jB} $	$D_{AB} = a + b - 2c$	
Euclidean distance	$D_{A, B} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{jA} - x_{jB})^2\right]^{1/2}$	$D_{A,B} = [a+b-2c]^{1/2}$	
Cosine coefficient	$S_{A,B} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{jA} x_{jB}\right] / \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{jA})^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{jB})^2\right]^{1/2}$	$S_{A,B} = \frac{c}{[ab]^{1/2}}$	
Dice coefficient	$S_{A,B} = \left[2\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{jA} x_{jB}\right] / \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{jA})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{jB})^{2}\right]$	$S_{AB} = 2c/[a+b]$	
Tanimoto coefficient	$S_{A,B} = \frac{\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{jA} x_{jB}\right]}{\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{jA})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{jB})^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{jA} x_{jB}\right]}$	$S_{AB} = c/[a+b-c]$	
Soergel distance <sup>b</sup>	$D_{A, B} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}  x_{jA} - x_{jB} \right] / \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} max(x_{jA}, x_{jB})\right]$	$D_{A,B} = 1 - \frac{c}{[a+b-c]}$	

*S* denotes similarities, while *D* denotes distances. The two can be converted to each other by *similarity=1/(1+distance)*.  $x_{jA}$  means the j-th feature of molecule A. a is the number of *on* bits in molecule A, b is number of *on* bits in molecule B, while c is the number of bits that are *on* in both molecules.

(Left) Maggiora, Gerald, Martin Vogt, Dagmar Stumpfe, und Jürgen Bajorath. <u>Molecular Similarity in</u> <u>Medicinal Chemistry</u>". *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 57, Nr. 8 (24. April 2014): 3186–3204. (Right) Bajusz, Dávid, Anita Rácz, and Károly Héberger. 2015. <u>"Why Is Tanimoto Index an Appropriate Choice</u> <u>for Fingerprint-Based Similarity Calculations?</u>" Journal of Cheminformatics 7 (1): 20.

### Selected commonly used molecular descriptors

**Molecular Weight** (MW). for example, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the *energy molecule*, has a MW of 507.



**logP** (partition coefficient) quantifies the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of a molecule. The calculated version (cLogP) exists as well.



#### Molecular

**fingerprints:** a set of techniques to represent molecules in a bit array.







### Extended-connectivity fingerprints (ECFPs) and Functional-class fingerprints (FCFPs) extract and compare (multi-)sets of subgraphs



Implemented in <u>RDKit</u> and other software. Publication and tutorials: (1) Rogers, David, and Mathew Hahn. "<u>Extended-Connectivity Fingerprints.</u>" Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling (2010). (2) Tutorial by <u>Manish Kumar</u> and (3) Tutorial by <u>Leo Klarner</u>.



# Number of hydrogen bond acceptors and donors are important descriptors, too

#### A hydrogen bond: an

electrostatic force of attraction between a hydrogen (H) atom H<sup>O</sup>H<sup>O</sup> which is covalently bonded to a more electronegative "donor" atom or group (Dn), and another electronegative atom bearing a lone pair of electrons—the hydrogen-bond acceptor.

Hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) both influence the structure of the molecule and its binding to the target.



acceptor

inhibitor: a) chemical structure of a pair of thrombin inhibitors; b) crystal structure of molecule 4 (cyan carbons) in complex with thrombin (PDB: 2ZC9). Hydrogen bonds are displayed in dotted green lines.

### Lipinski's Rule of Five of small-molecule drugs

- HBD<=5: No more than 5 hydrogen-bond donors, e.g. the total number of nitrogen—hydrogen and oxygen—hydrogen bonds.
- HBA<=10: No more than 10 hydrogen-bond acceptors, e.g. all nitrogen or oxygen atoms
- MW<500: A molecular weight less than 500 Daltons, or 500 g/mol.
- logP<=5: An octanol-water partition coefficient (log P) that does not exceed 5. (10-based)







# Rules are made to be broken: more drugs are now beyond the space of Ro5

Table 1. New FDA Approvals (2014 to Present)a of Oral bRo5 Drugs

drug	year approved	therapeutic area	MW	cLogP	HBD	N+O
velpatasvir	2016	HCV	883.02	2.5	4	16
venetoclax	2016	oncology	868.44	10.4	3	14
elbasvir	2016	HCV	882.0	2.6	4	16
grazoprevir	2016	HCV	766.90	-2.0	3	15
cobimetinib	2015	oncology	531.31	5.2	3	5
daclatasvir	2015	HCV	738.88	1.3	4	14
edoxaban	2015	cardiovascular	548.06	-0.9	3	11
ombitasvir	2014	HCV	894.13	1.3	4	15
paritaprevir	2014	HCV	765.89	1.1	3	14
netupitant	2014	nausea from chemotherapy	578.59	6.8	0	5
ledipasvir	2014	НСУ	889.00	0.9	4	14
ceritinib	2014	oncology	558.14	6.5	3	8

DeGoey, *et al.*. 2018. "<u>Beyond the Rule of 5: Lessons</u> <u>Learned from AbbVie's Drugs and Compound</u> <u>Collection.</u>" Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 61 (7): 2636–51.